# Bilingual Word Embeddings and Recurrent Neural Networks

Fabienne Braune<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>LMU Munich

June 28, 2017

# Outline

- Softmax Output Units
- Word Embeddings
- Bilingual Word Embeddings
- Recurrent Neural Networks
- Secap

## Softmax Output Units

3

Goal of training: adjust weights such that correct label is predicted

 $\rightarrow$  Error between correct label and prediction is minimal

Sketch:

- Compute derivatives of Error w.r.t prediction
- Compute derivatives in each hidden layer from layer above
  - Backpropagate the error derivative with respect to the output of a unit
- Use **derivatives** w.r.t the activations to get error **derivatives** w.r.t incomming weights



#### Backpropagation:

- $\rightarrow$  Compute E
- $\rightarrow$  Compute  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial O_i}$

5

#### Compute error at output E:

Compare output unit with  $y^i$ 

$$\boldsymbol{E} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - O_i)^2 \text{ (mean squared)}$$

Compute  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial O_i}$ :

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial O_i} = -(y_i - O_i)$$

6

Compute derivatives in each hidden layer from layer above:

Compute derivative of error w.r.t logit  $\frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_{i}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial O_{i}} \frac{\partial O_{i}}{\partial Z_{i}} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial O_{i}} O_{i}(1 - O_{i}) \text{ (Note: } O_{i} = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-Z_{i}}} \text{)}$ 

Compute derivative of error w.r.t previous hidden unit

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial A_j} = \sum_i \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial A_j} \frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_i} = \sum_i w_{ji} \frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_i}$$

Compute derivative w.r.t. weights

 $\frac{\partial E}{\partial w_{ji}} = \frac{\partial Z_i}{\partial w_{ji}} \frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_i} = O_i \frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_i}$ 

 $\rightarrow$  Use recursion to do this for every layer

#### Problems with least squares

1. Poor gradient although **big error** Suppose  $Y_i = 1$  and  $O_i = 0.00000001 \rightarrow$  Very wrong Least squares:

• 
$$E = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1 - 0.0000001)^2$$
 (mean squared)  
 $\rightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial O_i} = -(1 - 0.00000001)$   
 $\rightarrow \frac{\partial E}{\partial Z_i} = \frac{\partial E}{\partial O_i} * 0.0000001(1 - 0.00000001)$ 

Suppose  $Y_i = 0$  and  $O_i = 0.00000001 \rightarrow \text{Quite right}$ Suppose  $Y_i = 0$  and  $O_i = 0 \rightarrow \text{right}$ Suppose  $Y_i = 1$  and  $O_i = 1 \rightarrow \text{right}$ 

#### Problems with least squares

- 1. Poor gradient although big error
- 2. Mutually exclusive classes
- $\rightarrow$  Probabilities should sum up to 1
- $\rightarrow$  Give the network this information

9

# Softmax Unit

Softmax unit:

• applied on output logits

• 
$$O_i = \frac{e^{z_i}}{\sum\limits_{j \in K} e^{z_j}}$$



# Cross Entropy

#### Cross Entropy:

$$C = -\sum_{j} y_{j} \log(O_{j})$$
  
$$\rightarrow \frac{\partial C}{\partial Z_{i}} = \sum_{j} \frac{\partial C}{\partial O_{j}} \frac{\partial O_{j}}{\partial Z_{i}} = O_{i} - y_{i}$$

- Very big gradient when target is 1 and output near 0
- Mutually exclusive classes taken into account

### WORD EMBEDDINGS

# Word Embeddings

• Representation of words in vector space



# Word Embeddings

• Similar words are close to each other

 $\rightarrow$  Similarity is the cosine of the angle between two word vectors



# Learning word embeddings

#### Count-based methods:

- Compute cooccurrence statistics
- Learn high-dimensional representation
- Map sparse high-dimensional vectors to small dense representation

#### Neural networks:

- Predict a word from its neighbors
- Learn (small) embedding vectors

#### Word2Vec

Software train word embeddings (Mikolov. 2013)
 → very fast

- Two models:
  - BOW model:
    - ★ Input is is  $w_{t+2}$ ,  $w_{t+1}$ ,  $w_{t-1}$  and  $w_{t-2}$
    - ★ Prediction is w<sub>t</sub>
  - Skip-gram model:
    - **\*** Input is  $w_t$
    - ★ Prediction is  $w_{t+2}$ ,  $w_{t+1}$ ,  $w_{t-1}$  and  $w_{t-2}$

## Feedforward Neural Network with Lookup Table



Note: Bias terms omitted for simplicity

#### Learning word embeddings with CBOW



Note: Bias terms omitted for simplicity

### Learning word embeddings with skip-gram



Note: Bias terms omitted for simplicity

## BILINGUAL WORD EMBEDDINGS

# **Bilingual Word Spaces**

Representation of words in two languages in same semantic space:

- $\rightarrow\,$  Each word is one dimension
- $\rightarrow\,$  Each word represented respective to all others



# **Bilingual Word Spaces**

Representation of words in two languages in same semantic space:

- $\rightarrow~$  Similar words are close to each other
- $\rightarrow\,$  Given by cosine





How is this related to translation?

# Learning Bilingual Word Embeddings

- Learn monolingual word embeddings and map using seed lexicon Mikolov et al. (2013); Faruqui and Dyer (2014); Lazaridou et al. (2015) Need seed lexicon
- Learn bilingual embeddings or lexicon from document-aligned data Vulic and Moens (2015); Vulic and Korhonen (2016) Need document-aligned data
- Learn bilingual embeddings from parallel data Hermann and Blunsom (2014), Gouws et al. (2015), Gouws and Søgaard (2015), Duong et al. (2016) Need for parallel data

# Post-hoc mapping (with seed lexicon)

- Learn monolingual word embeddings
- Learn a linear mapping W



# Post-hoc mapping

• Project source words into target space



# Post-hoc Mapping with seed lexicon

- Train monolingual word embeddings (Word2vec) in English
   Need English monolingual data
- Train monolingual word embeddings (Word2vec) in German
  - Need German monolingual data
- O Learn mapping W using a seed lexicon
  - Need a list of 5000 English words and their translation

Ridge regression (Mikolov et al. (2013))

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \mathop{\arg\min}\limits_{\mathbf{W}} \sum_{i}^{n} \mid\mid \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{W} - \mathbf{y}_i \mid\mid^2$$

- $x_i$ : embedding of i-th source (English) word in the seed lexicon.
- y<sub>i</sub> : **embedding** of i-th target (German) word in the seed lexicon.

- $x_i$ : embedding of i-th source (English) word in the seed lexicon.
- $\rightarrow$  vector representing disease in monolingual word embedding



Ridge regression (Mikolov et al. (2013))

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \mathop{\arg\min}\limits_{\mathbf{W}} \sum_{i}^{n} \mid\mid \mathbf{x}_i \mathbf{W} - \mathbf{y}_i \mid\mid^2$$

- $x_i$ : embedding of i-th source (English) word in the seed lexicon.
- y<sub>i</sub> : embedding of i-th target (German) word in the seed lexicon.

 $y_i$ : embedding of i-th target (German) word in the seed lexicon.

 $\rightarrow$  vector representing Krankheit in monolingual word embedding



Ridge regression (Mikolov et al. (2013))

$$W^* = \mathop{\text{arg\,min}}_{W} \sum_i^n \, || \, \textbf{x}_i \cdot W - \textbf{y}_i \, ||^2$$

- $\bullet$  Predict projection  $y^{*}$  by computing  $x_{i}\cdot W$
- Compute squared error between  $y^*$  and  $y_i$ 
  - Correct translation t<sub>i</sub> given in seed lexicon
  - Vector representation y<sub>i</sub> is given by embedding of t<sub>i</sub>
- Find W such that squared error over training set is minimal

#### Adding Regularization

If  ${\boldsymbol{\mathsf{W}}}$  is too complex the model overfits the data

- $\rightarrow$  Add regularization term that keeps W small
- $\rightarrow$  Add weighted norm of  $\boldsymbol{W}$  to cost function

$$\mathbf{W}^* = \underset{\mathbf{W}}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{\mathbf{i}}^{\mathbf{n}} || \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{i}} \cdot \mathbf{W} - \mathbf{y}_{\mathbf{i}} ||^2 + \lambda || \mathbf{W} |$$

# Bilingual lexicon induction

- Task to evaluate bilingual word embeddings extrinsically
- Given a set of source words, find the corresponding translations:
  - Given silver, find its vector in the BWE
  - Retrieve the German word whose vector is closest (cosine distance)



# Bilingual lexicon induction with ridge regression

Data: WMT 2011 training data for English, Spanish, Czech Seed: 5000 most frequent words translated with Google Translate Test: 1000 next frequent words translated with Google Translate

 $\rightarrow\,$  Removed digits, punctuation and transliterations

Languages	top-1	top-5
En-Es	33 %	51 %
Es-En	35 %	50 %
En-Cz	27 %	47 %
Cz-En	23 %	42 %
+ Es-En	53 %	80 %

 $\rightarrow\,$  with spanish google news

Learning W with Max Margin Ranking

Max-margin ranking loss (Lazaridou et al. (2015)):

- Predict projection  $y^*$  by computing  $x_i \cdot W$
- Compute ranking loss between:
  - ► y\*
  - Vector of correct translation y<sub>i</sub>
  - Negative samples y<sub>j</sub>

• 
$$\sum_{i \neq j}^{k} \max\{0, \gamma + Sdist(\vec{y^*}, \vec{y_i}) - Sdist(\vec{y^*}, \vec{y_j})\}$$

- $Sdist(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  : inverse cosine
- $\rightarrow$  measures semantic distance between  $\vec{y^*}$  and  $\vec{y_i}$
- $\gamma$  and k tuned on held-out data

36

# Learning W with Max Margin Ranking

Max-margin ranking loss (Lazaridou et al. (2015)):

- $\sum_{i\neq j}^{k} \max\{0, \gamma + Sdist(\vec{y^*}, \vec{y_i}) Sdist(\vec{y^*}, \vec{y_j})\}$ 
  - $Sdist(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  : inverse cosine
  - $\rightarrow$  measures semantic distance between  $\vec{y^*}$  and  $\vec{y_i}$
- For each source (English) vector x<sub>i</sub>, distance of y<sup>\*</sup> to correct translation y<sub>i</sub> should be smaller than distance to wrong translation y<sub>j</sub>

# Bilingual lexicon induction with max margin ranking

Data: 4 mio sentences from Europarl, News, Common Crawl Seed: 5000 most frequent words-pairs computed with parallel data Test: 1000 next words-pairs computed with parallel data

Setup	top-1	top-5
En-De all	18.6 %	27.4 %
En-De	23.1 %	33.61 %

 $\rightarrow\,$  max-margin outperforms ridge

# Building bilingual corpora

Idea:

- Create bilingual corpus and build bilingual word embeddings
- Combine monolingual texts to create bilingual data
- Learn word embeddings with skip-gram or CBOW on bilingual data
  - Simply run word2vec on the bilingual data
  - Just need to create bilingual data

# Document Merge and Shuffle

Merge and shuffle document-aligned monolingual data (Vulic and Moens (2015)):

- Document-pairs  $P = \{(D_1^S, D_1^T), \dots, (D_n^S, D_n^T)\}$
- Merge each pair  $(D_i^S, D_i^T)$  into pseudo-bilingual document  $B_i$
- Shuffle each *B<sub>i</sub>* 
  - ▶ Random permutation of words w<sub>j</sub> in B<sub>i</sub>
  - Assures that each word w<sub>j</sub> obtains collocates from both languages
- Train word embeddings (word2vec) on pseudo-bilingual document B<sub>i</sub>

# Building bilingual corpora

English word with bilingual context



Note: Bias terms omitted for simplicity

41

# Building bilingual corpora

German word with bilingual context



Note: Bias terms omitted for simplicity

42

# **Bilingual Word Spaces**

Representation of words in two languages in same semantic space:

- $\rightarrow~$  Similar words are close to each other
- $\rightarrow\,$  Given by cosine



## Merge and Shuffle with seed lexicon

Merge and shuffle monolingual data with seed lexicon (Gouws and Søgaard (2015)):

- Document-pair  $P = (D_1^S, D_1^T)$ 
  - Merge each pair P into pseudo-bilingual document B

► Shuffle *B* 

- Seed lexicon  $S = \{(x_1, y_1), ..., (x_n, y_n)\}$
- Each y<sub>i</sub> is translation of x<sub>i</sub>
  - In bilingual document *B* replace each  $x_i$  with  $y_i$  with proba 0.5
  - Allows to consider k translations of  $x_i$  and draw with proba  $\frac{0.5}{k}$

# Bilingual lexicon induction

- Task to evaluate bilingual word embeddings extrinsically
- Merge and shuffle document-aligned monolingual data (Vulic and Moens (2015))
- A bit worse than post-hoc mapping with ridge regression
- Merge and shuffle monolingual data with seedLexicon (Gouws and Søgaard (2015))
- Evaluated on cross-lingual POS tagging

# RECURRENT NEURAL NETWORKS

### Neural language model

• Early application of neural networks (Bengio et al. 2003)

- Task: Given k previous words, predict the current word Estimate: P(w<sub>t</sub>|w<sub>t-k</sub>, · · · , w<sub>t-2</sub>, w<sub>t-1</sub>)
- Previous (non-neural) approaches:

Problem: Joint distribution of consecutive words difficult to obtain  $\rightarrow$  chose small history to reduce complexity (n=3)

 $\rightarrow$  predict for unseen history through back-off to smaller history

Drawbacks:

Takes into account small and fixed context Does not model similarity between words

#### Neural language model

- Early application of neural networks (Bengio et al. 2003)
- Task: Given k previous words, predict the current word Estimate: P(w<sub>t</sub>|w<sub>t-k</sub>, · · · , w<sub>t-2</sub>, w<sub>t-1</sub>)
- Feedforward NN for LM:

Does model similarity between words Restricted to small and fixed context

## Neural language model

Take into account context of any size:

- Need a way to model sequentiality
- Introduce notion of time in neural network
  - $\rightarrow$  Recurrent Neural Networks

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks**

Connection between hidden states

 $\rightarrow$  connections between time units, models sequentiality



#### Recurrent Neural Networks

Input weights U are **shared** among each time step Output weights V are **shared** among each time step

 $\rightarrow$  Less parameters as in feedworward NN with many layers



Input embeddings passed forward through time Each hidden unit is one time step

 $\rightarrow$  Acts as memory of what happened before



Specify initial state A<sub>0</sub>:

Input layer (X): Word features  $LT^{t}$ Weight matrices U, R, V Time Step ( $A^{t}$ ):  $\sigma(LT^{t} \cdot U + A^{t-1} \cdot R + d)$ Output layer ( $0^{t}$ ):  $A^{t} \cdot V + b$ Prediction:  $h^{t}(X) = softmax(0^{t})$ 

Compute prediction for each time step Apply softmax on each output



Compute prediction for one time step

Apply softmax on last output  $\rightarrow$  Language model architecture



Goal of training: adjust weights such that correct label is predicted

 $\rightarrow$  Error between correct label and prediction is minimal

Sketch:

- Compute derivative of Error w.r.t. prediction
- Compute derivatives in each hidden layer from layer above
  - Backpropagate the error derivative with respect to the output of a unit
- Use derivatives w.r.t the activities to get error derivatives w.r.t incomming weights

Sketch:

- Compute derivative of Error w.r.t. prediction
- Compute derivatives from layer above and previous time step
  - $\rightarrow$  Each time step can be represented by a feedforward neural network
  - $\rightarrow$  Shared connections represented by constrained weights (same)
  - $\rightarrow$  Sum derivatives over each time step

- $\rightarrow$  Each time step can be represented by a feedforward neural network
- $\rightarrow$  Here feedforward neural network for time step 3



Sketch:

- Compute derivative of Error w.r.t. prediction
- Compute derivatives from layer above and previous time step
  - $\rightarrow$  Each time step can be represented by a feedforward neural network
  - $\rightarrow$  Shared connections represented by constrained weights (same)
  - $\rightarrow$  Sum derivatives over each time step

Difficulties:

- Multiply many derivatives together
  - $\rightarrow$  Gradients tend to explode or vanish

LSTM handle this

- LSTM for Long Short Term Memory Network
- Improve memory capacity of hidden states Will be presented next week!

# Recap

- Squared error not good loss function
  - Softmax units with cross-entropy
- Bilingual word embeddings represent words in two languages
- Induction with post-hoc mapping:
  - Train monolingual word embeddings
  - Map with seed lexicon
- Induction with bilingual corpora:
  - Create bilingual corpora
  - Train monolingual word embeddings

61

# Recap

Recurrent neural networks for language modeling:

- Task: Given k previous words, predict the current word
   Estimate: P(w<sub>t</sub>|w<sub>t-k</sub>, · · · , w<sub>t-2</sub>, w<sub>t-1</sub>)
- Problems with feedforward approach

 $\rightarrow$  chose fixed history to reduce complexity

- Recurrent neural networks as solution
  - Model sequentiality with recurrent units
  - Allow to model history of any size

## References I

- Duong, L., Kanayama, H., Ma, T., Bird, S., and Cohn, T. (2016). Learning crosslingual word embeddings without bilingual corpora. In *Proc. EMNLP*.
- Faruqui, M. and Dyer, C. (2014). Improving vector space word representations using multilingual correlation. In *Proc. EACL*.
- Gouws, S., Bengio, Y., and Corrado, G. (2015). Bilbowa: Fast bilingual distributed representations without word alignments. In *Proc. ICML*.
- Gouws, S. and Søgaard, A. (2015). Simple task-specific bilingual word embeddings. In *Proc. NAACL*.
- Hermann, K. M. and Blunsom, P. (2014). Multilingual models for compositional distributed semantics. In *Proc. ACL*, pages 58–68, Baltimore, Maryland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Lazaridou, A., Dinu, G., and Baroni, M. (2015). Hubness and pollution: Delving into cross-space mapping for zero-shot learning. In *Proc. ACL*.

63

#### References II

- Mikolov, T., Le, Q. V., and Sutskever, I. (2013). Exploiting similarities among languages for machine translation. *CoRR*, abs/1309.4168.
- Vulic, I. and Korhonen, A. (2016). On the Role of Seed Lexicons in Learning Bilingual Word Embeddings. In Proc. ACL, pages 247–257.
- Vulic, I. and Moens, M. (2015). Bilingual word embeddings from non-parallel document-aligned data applied to bilingual lexicon induction. In *Proc. ACL*.

#### **Recurrent Neural Networks**

Can be bidirectional

